ISSN: Print - 2277 - 0593 Online - 2315 - 7461 © FUNAAB 2011 Journal of Natural Sciences, Engineering and Technology

HIGH-DIMENSIONAL JOINT MODEL FOR LONGITUDINAL BINARY OUTCOME

B.M. DANSU^{1,} O.E. ASIRIBO² AND S.O. SAM-WOBO³

^{1,2}Department of Statistics, ³Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. Postcode 110001. Nigeria. *Corresponding author: bolanlebm@yahoo.ca

ABSTRACT

Binary outcomes are often collected in clinical and epidemiological studies to investigate the evolution of some outcomes over time. In studies with two or more binary outcomes, research questions often revolve around the joint evolution of the binary outcomes over time. However, independently modelling the evolution of each outcome variable ignores the correlation among the variables. Although generalized mixed models have been proposed to model the joint evolution of binary outcome variables over time, the estimation of the corresponding regression coefficients and covariance parameters may be computationally difficult as the number of outcome variables increases. In this study, we investigate the use of a pairwise generalized mixed models approach based pseudo-likelihood theory, in which all possible bivariate models are fitted, to estimate the parameters of a multivariate longitudinal binary data and compared it with univariate models. This methodology is illustrated using data from a longitudinal study of the prevalence of four ailments in 200 children in the south-western part of Nigeria. This methodology is shown to be computationally easy and beneficial over the conventional multivariate generalized mixed-model methods. It is also advantageous over univariate generalized mixed-effects models as it incorporates the modeling. This research provides applied researchers with alternative tools to investigate the joint evolution of binary outcomes over time.

Keywords: High-dimensional, joint model, Pseudo-likelihood, Mixed outcomes, Correlated data

INTRODUCTION

Longitudinal studies seek to investigate change over time for study participants who are measured at two or more occasions. In the health sciences, longitudinal data arise in clinical and epidemiological studies (Charles & Davis, 2002). Longitudinal studies are useful for describing changes over time for groups, as well as subject specific variation in the magnitude of change. In addition, these studies are useful for identifying variables associated with change and understanding how changes in outcomes are related to one another (Fieuws & Verbeke, 2004).

Longitudinal data are very common in biomedical research and clinical trials, where the characteristic or some measurement of a person such as the status of a disease of one person, evolves or develops over time.

Existing statistical methods such as logistic and multinomial logistic models for analyzing longitudinal discrete data had been primarily developed for multivariate data collected at a single time point, such methods may be inappropriate for analyzing multivari-

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. Tech. 2011, 10(1): 12-18

12

B.M. DANSU^{1,} O.E. ASIRIBO² AND S.O. SAM-WOBO³

ate longitudinal data because they do not account for the correlation among the outcome variables. Statistical methods for analyzing multivariate longitudinal binary data have been proposed based on generalized mixed-effects models (Molenbergs, Fiuews and Verbeeke, 2000), in which the multivariate model parameters are estimated from a series of bivariate mixed-effects models for all possible pairs of the outcome variables. In addition, statistical methods for analyzing these data are not readily available in existing statistical software packages.

The motivation for this research came from computational problem in using full likelihood when there is increase in the number of outcome especially in longitudinal study of health data. Clinicians have long relied on statistical methods that model the longitudinal change in one outcome variable at a time. However, multiple outcomes are common in longitudinal study especially in health data where the presence of one disease often increases the risk of other disease. In such case longitudinal methods that jointly model the evolution of these diseases over time are most appropriate.

The overall purpose of this study is to examine multivariate statistical models for longitudinal binary outcomes that include covariate effects and account for correlation among the outcomes. The implementation of these procedures will be demonstrated using data from a longitudinal study of common disease and symptoms in children under five years in south-western Nigeria.

METHODS

Pairwise Modeling

The principal idea is to replace a numerically challenging joint density by an approximate and simpler function such as the product of ratios of conditional likelihoods of all possible pairs of the outcome variables. For example, when joint density contains a computationally intractable normalizing constant, one might calculate a suitable product of conditional density that does not involve such a complicated function. Although this method achieves important computational economies by changing the method of estimation, it does not affect the model parameters, parameters can be chosen in the same way as with full likelihood, retain their meaning, and so on. Estimation of pseudolikelihood is more attractive than maximum likelihood especially in binary data.

Pairwise fitting approach model

This describes in detail how to estimate all the parameters using pairwise fitting approach. Let p be the number of outcomes that need to be modeled jointly. For this study p is equal to 4. Further, let Y_r denote

the *r*th outcomes, r=1,..., p, and let Ψ^* be the vector of all parameters in the multivariate model $(Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_p)$. The pairwise-fitting approach starts from fitting all p(p-1)/2 bivariate models, that is, all joint models for

all possible pairs $(Y_1, Y_2), (Y_1, Y_3), \dots, (Y_1, Y_p), (Y_2, Y_3), \dots, (Y_2, Y_p), \dots, (Y_{p-1}, Y_p)$ of the outcomes Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_p . Let the log-likelihood function corresponding to the pair (r, s) be

denoted by $I(\mathbf{y}_{r}, \mathbf{y}_{s}| = \mathbf{\Psi}_{rs}$), and let $\mathbf{\Psi}_{rs}$ be the vector containing all parameters in the bivariate model for pair (r, s).

Let Ψ now be the stacked vector combining all p (p - 1)/2 pair-specific parameter vectors Ψ_{rs} . Estimates for the elements in

are obtained by maximizing each of the p(p - 1)/2 log-likelihoods $l(\mathbf{y}_r, \mathbf{y}_s) \stackrel{\mathbf{\Psi}_{rs}}{\longrightarrow}$ separately. The parameter vectors Ψ and Ψ are not equivalent, i.e. some parameters in Ψ^* will have a single counterpart in Ψ . From here a single estimate for the corresponding parameter in Ψ^* is obtained by averaging all corresponding pair specific estimates in $\hat{\Psi}$. Indeed, two pair-specific estimates corresponding to two pairwise models with a common outcome are based on overlapping information and hence correlated. This correlation should also be accounted for in the sampling variability of the combined estimates in $\; \hat{\Psi}^{*}$. However asymptotic standard errors for the parameters in $\hat{\Psi}$, and consequently in $\hat{\Psi}^*$ can be obtained from pseudo-likelihood ideas.

Inference for all pairs of parameters

(^Ψ)

In order to draw the inference there is need to take account of variability among pair-

$$pl(\boldsymbol{\Psi}) \equiv pl(\boldsymbol{y}_{1i}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2i}, ..., \boldsymbol{y}_{pi} | \boldsymbol{\Psi} \rangle \sum_{r < s} l(\boldsymbol{y}_r, \boldsymbol{y}_s | \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{rs})$$

specific estimates because standard error cannot be obtained from averaging. Also two pairs of outcomes are expected to be correlated and this correlation should be accounted for in the sampling variability of the

combined estimate in Ψ^* . However, adopting pseudo-likelihood estimation (Bessag, 1975) is to replace the joint likelihood by suitable conditional or marginal densities, this will make evaluation of the product easier rather than complex in the previous methods (Renard, Molenberghs & Geys, 2004). Pairwise approach involves maximizing a set of likelihood separately which is suitable for pseudo-likelihood. The application of pseudo likelihood methodology is different from most other applications in the sense that the same parameter vector is usually present in different parts of the pseudo likelihood function. Here the set of parame-

 Ψ_{rs} is treated pair-specific, which allows separate maximization of each term in the pseudo log-likelihood function. Fitting all bivariate models is equivalent to maximizing the function

(1)

B.M. DANSU^{1,} O.E. ASIRIBO² AND S.O. SAM-WOBO³

ignoring the fact that some of the vectors

 $\Psi_{_{rs}}$ have common elements, that is, as-

suming that all vectors Ψ_{rs} are completely distinct. The function in Equation (1) can be considered a pseudo-likelihood function, maximization of which leads to so-called pseudo-likelihood estimates with well-known asymptotic statistical properties.

Finally, estimates for the parameters in Ψ^* can be calculated by taking averages of all available estimates for that specific parameter over all pairs which implies that $\hat{\Psi}^* = \mathbf{A}'\hat{\Psi}$ for an appropriate weight matrix \mathbf{A} . The inference for the elements in $\hat{\Psi}^*$ will be based on

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\Psi}^* - \Psi^*) = \sqrt{N}(\mathbf{A}'\hat{\Psi} - \mathbf{A}'\Psi) \approx N(0, \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}\mathbf{I}_1\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}\mathbf{A})$$
(2)

Description of the data and analysis

The comparison of statistical methods for analyzing multivariate binary data will be implemented using data from a longitudinal survey conducted in eight different locations in south-western Nigeria. The objective of the survey is to investigate the effects of environmental factors on the prevalence of common ailments in children under five years. In this the ailments studied were cough, malaria, diarrhea, and mumps. It is hypothesized that temperature, good drainage system, availability of good drinking water, parent's educational status, family background, and size of the family are risk factors associated with the prevalence of some of these ailments. These variables are combination of measure of physical environment, socio-economic, climate and demographic characteristics. The GEN-MOD procedure in SAS Version 9.2 (SAS, 2008) was used to estimate the regression coefficients and the associated standard er-

rors of marginal model. For the generalized linear mixed model, SAS GLIMMIX procedure was used. All analyses focused on describing the factors associated with the evolution of the multiple diseases evolve over time. The GENMOD procedure in SAS Version 9.2 (SAS, 2008) was used to estimate the regression coefficients and the associated standard errors of marginal model. For the generalized linear mixed model, SAS GLIM-MIX procedure was used. All analyses focused on describing the factors associated with the evolution of the multiple diseases evolve over time. SAS/IML code was used to combine parameters from all possible bivariate models, and SAS/STAT code to implement bivariate generalized mixedeffects models. Figure 1 below shows occurrence of each of the four diseases over thirteen time of visit.



Figure 1: Number of cases studied over time

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study results allow joint analysis of multivariate repeated measures of a relatively high dimension for ease (ability) computational and to identify each model and its strength and limitation. The method is based on fitting bivariate mixed models for all pairs of outcomes. The AIC and BIC were used to measure the goodness of fit, the pairwise AIC and BIC values were smaller than the AIC and BIC of univariate models.

However, we have applied a pairwise modelling strategy to obtain parameter estimates of high dimensional GLMMs for binary data. The analysis has illustrated the many advantages of using the pairwise approach in this context. First, the strengths of the random-effects approach for joint

modelling are kept. For example, insight can be gained in the association structure of the outcomes. Also, discarding subjects from the analysis due to missing item scores or considering guestionable imputation techniques is not needed. Second, no strong a priori (unidimensionality) assumption about the covariance structure of the random effects needs to be made, thereby avoiding potential biases in the fixed effects estimates. Finally, high dimensional integration problems are avoided. As such, the complicated fourdimensional integration problem in the application has been eliminated with pseudo likelihood approach.

The model results from this study (Table 1) is characterized by outcome-specific fixed, random effects and Pairwise fitting approach (PFA). An important advantage of the PFA

J. Nat. Sci. Engr. Tech. 2011, 10(1): 12-18

B.M. DANSU^{1,} O.E. ASIRIBO² AND S.O. SAM-WOBO³

method is that it directly yields unique pa-rameter estimates of the joint model, which way restricted to this setting, and can be used is very appealing for inference. This study for arbitrary combinations of any outcome was particular emphasis on the PFA of types.

| Table 1: | Pairwise fitting model estimates for mixed-effects with 95% confidence |
|----------|--|
| | intervals |

| Parameter Interval | Old Ratio | Confidence |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Advice | 1.16 | (0.77, 1.77) |
| Any Protection | 0.85 | (0.68, 1.05) |
| Alternative | 1.10 | (0.87, 1.40) |
| Blockage | 0.73 | (0.56, 0.93) |
| Child's age | 1.08 | (0.95, 1.22) |
| Child position | 1.16 | (1.01, 1.32) |
| Child's stool | 0.91 | (0.78, 1.05) |
| During illness | 1.24 | (0.98, 1.58) |
| House type | 1.19 | (0.74, 1.92) |
| How long | 0.89 | (0.82, 0.96) |
| Highest education | 1.03 | (0.88, 0.96) |
| Maintained | 0.75 | (0.54, 1.06) |
| Mosquito net | 1.06 | (0.88, 1.28) |
| Mother's age | 1.02 | (0.99, 1.05) |
| Net how long | 0.91 | (0.78, 1.05) |
| Net type | 1.11 | (1.00, 1.24) |
| Net treatment | 1.13 | (0.87, 1.46) |
| Number of net | 1.01 | (0.97, 1.04) |
| Number of rooms | 0.94 | (0.87, 1.02) |
| Occasion | 1.00 | (1.00, 1.00) |
| Sex | 0.98 | (0.76, 1.28) |
| Sleeping room | 1.04 | (0.95, 1.14) |
| Sugar treatment | 1.18 | (0.89, 1.56) |
| Seek advice | 0.94 | (0.80, 1.10) |
| Temperature | 0.95 | (0.94, 0.96) |
| Treatment | 0.98 | (0.72, 1.34) |
| Toilet facilities | 0.92 | (0.66, 1.28) |
| Where advice | 0.98 | (0.80, 1.20) |

Bold P < 0.05

REFERENCES

Airy, G.B. 1861. On the Algebraical and Numerical Theory of Errors of Observation and the Combination of Observations. London: Macmillan.

Charles, S.D. 2002. *Statistical Methods for the Analysis of Repeated Measurements.* Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.

Fieuws, S., Verbeke, G. 2004. Joint modelling of multivariate longitudinal profiles: pitfalls of the random-effects approach. *Statistics in Medicine*, 23: 3093–3104.

Fieuws, S., Verbeke, G., Molenberghs, G. 2007. Random effects models for multivariate repeated Measures. *Statistical Methods Medical Research*, 16: 387-397

Hideki, O., Knoket, J.D. 1990. A Comparative Study of Two Statistical Models for the Analysis of Binary Data from Longitudinal Studies. *Environmental Health Perspec*-

tives, 87: 143-147.

Korn, E.L., Whittemore, A.S. 1979. Methods of Analyzing Panel Studies of Acute Health Effects of Air Pollution. *Biometrics*, 35: 795-802.

Laird, N.M., Ware, J.H. 1982. Random-Effects Models for Longitudinal Data. *Biometrics*, 38: 963-974.

Fitzmaurice, G.M., Laird, N.M., Ware, J.H. 2004. *Applied longitudinal analysis*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Molenberghs, G., Verbeke, G. 2006. *Models for Discrete Longitudinal Data.* Springer Science. Business Media, LLC: New York.

(Manuscript received: 25th May, 2011; accepted: 27th June, 2011).